FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

TELEPHONE: 213/892-9200 FACSIMILE: 213/680-4518

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, 29TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-2576

HOUSTON
WASHINGTON, D.C.
AUSTIN
SAN ANTONIO
DALLAS
NEW YORK
LOS ANGELES
MINNEAPOLIS
LONDON
HONG KONG

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

PLEASE TRANSMIT THE FOLLOWING PAGES TO:

NAME:	Charles Appiah - Group Art Unit 2682
COMPANY/FIRM (LOCATION):	USPTO
FACSIMILE NUMBER:	(703) 746-5783 and (703) 872-9314
VOICE PHONE:	(703) 305-4772
From: Courad S	Phone No.: (2/3)892-9238 (Including Cover Sheet) 3
Return Transmitted Fax	2003 User No.: CS/16/8 Fir: 29 Matter No.: 1030/6/4 To: Convad Solum
REMARKS: 70 Exa	Applin; 5.N. 09/531,356; Docket 7297-1010 miner Appliah: Please excuse the handwriting.
In accordance with our teleconference this morning teltached is a copy of pages 14 and 15 of Applicant's Amendment and Response mailed 4/24/03 on which I listed the elements of	
15 a copy of pages 14 and 15 of Applicant's Amendment and	
Response maile	1 4/24/03 on which I listed The elements of
the Kilinis 159 and Erkkila patents that were set forth in	
6 278 ABA M	tion and are disclosed in Applicant's parent portent
If you experience problem	notes show where each element is in the 884 patent. s with this transmission or have questions, please call 213-892-9373. Colomb
contained in this facsimile mes named above. If the reader of intended recipient, you are here If you have received this con	NOTICE: Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information sage is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the by notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Intuition in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return the original orski L.L.P. at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our expense. Thank you.
FOR OFFICE SERVICES USE ONLY	
FAX OPERATOR:	TIME SENT:

Patent 7297-101C1/10301614 09/531,356

claim was filed February 3, 1998, subsequent to the filing date of April 25, 1997 of Applicant's parent application that resulted in U.S. Patent 6,278,884 (copy of which is enclosed for the Examiner's convenient reference, although obviously it is not prior art), Kikinis '596 is not prior art under 35 U.S.C. Section 102 with respect to anything disclosed in Applicant's Patent No. 6,278,884 that now is incorporated in the subject application by reference.

The Office Action, pages 3 and 4, recites numerous elements and features of Kikinis '596 in support of the claim rejections that are disclosed in Applicant's parent Patent No. 6,278,884 and not in Kikinis '159, whereby those elements and features are not prior art, as follows: Copied from Office Action recitation re Kikimis 159

- Tette: Abstract; radio 60; Fig. "Mobile entertainment and communication device", (a)
- 10; Col. 2, line 44; all Figs. "a housing (100) of a palm-held size", (b)
- "a cell-phone provided in the housing", β \hat{j} " (c)
- 44 and 46; Col. 3, Lixes 22, 23; Figs 2 + 4 "a memory provided in the housing". (d)
- "a microprocessor provided in the housing", 40 and unnum bered "CONROL" in Figs 244 (e)
- **(f)**
- "the microprocessor having means for selectively downloading data into memory",

 **The vunumbered "CONTROL" in Figs 2:

 "modem", 48; Col. 3, line 30; That is counseled to 44 and 46 "modem", 48; Col. 3, line 30; Figs 2 4 4 **(g)**
- "a battery mounted in the housing", and 54; Col. 3, line 67; Figs. 2 4 4 (h)
- "random access memory". 44 and 46; Col. 3, lines 22 23 and 52-64 (i)

Thus, a substantial proportion of the elements and features of Kikinis '596 relied upon for the claim rejections in the Office Action are not prior art because of Applicant's parent application (now U.S. Patent 6,278,884) that discloses those elements. Thus, all of 25286431.1

Patent 7297-101C1/10301614 09/531,356

the claim rejections in the Office Action are unsupported for at least this reason and therefore must be withdrawn.

Further, the Office Action relies on Erkkila as a secondary reference in each of the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) but Erkkila was filed in the United States on October 1, 1997, which is subsequent to the April 25, 1997 filing date of Applicant's parent application that resulted in Applicant's U.S. Patent 6,278,884. Again, as with Kikinis '596, Erkkila is not prior art as to anything disclosed in Applicant's parent application that resulted in Patent No. 6,278,884. Specifically, the Office Action recites the following elements and features of Erkkila that are disclosed in Applicant's 6,278,884 patent and therefore not prior

- art:
- Copied from Office Action recitation re Erkkila.
 "a modular mobile communication system", Title; Abstract; cell phone B; all Fig. (a)
- "device to provide ... visual communication", Camera 50, Image capture 46 and
 Madem 1 (b)
- "store pictures", and Image capture 46 (c)
- "providing optional functionalities such [as] downloading images and sounds into the (d) communication device". Control 40, unnumbered "CONTROL" and Explores" 44 + 16

Thus, Erkkila is not prior art against the subject application for several of the elements and features disclosed and claimed in this application and which are essential to applying Erkkila as a secondary reference under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). This is a separate and additional reason why the claim rejections set forth in the Office Action must be withdrawn.

It should be noted that although it has not been applied in a claim rejection, the cited Farris, et al. (6,167,253) patent was filed December 24, 1997 as a Continuation-in-Part and therefore has the same defects in qualifying as a prior art reference as the Kikinis '596 25286431.1